

Group 1

Q1. Defining Wellbeing and Sustainable Development.

Do you have any views on how 'wellbeing' and 'Sustainable development' can be clearly defined in legislation?

The width of the definition is a challenge.

Definitions for wellbeing should cover both children and adults, as these may cover different areas.

GIRFEC demonstrates a successful way in which Wellbeing is adhered to and reported on with regards to children, young people, and families.

need to go beyond Brundtland - included society and nature

Having best practises is more important than legislation - e.g., does a GIRFEC approach end at 18 or 19 just because the legislation coverage does? No, it remains central.

Understanding that legislation will not be wholly effective if it does not cover public and private sector - ensure that private entities delivering public services are held to definition too

Principles of WB and Sus Dev are built into many contracts between public and private sector - there would be implications on these if definitions introduced

Definitions may reduce ambiguity in how approaches to Wellbeing and Sustainable Development are met

Q2. Strengthening duties for the National Outcomes and sustainable development.

Do you have any views on the range and type of organisations that any duty should apply to?

Yes duty should apply to Government

It should be in any service level agreement of organisations that work with local government or Scot government/parliament

Group 2 Betsy

Q1. Defining Wellbeing and Sustainable Development.

Do you have any views on how 'wellbeing' and 'Sustainable development' can be clearly defined in legislation?

go beyond the Brundtland definition of SD

equity and inclusion to be introduced

Important to have human wellbeing and well being of the planet linked

Q2. Strengthening duties for the National Outcomes and sustainable development.

Do you have any views on the range and type of organisations that any duty should apply to?

How does this flow through into guidance for public sector bodies?

Important to use this Bill to improve accountability and strengthen duties

publicly funded bodies should be included

Purposes and principles for post 16 education are separate- how will this be affected?

Need to make sure that there is policy coherence

Duties, outcomes and measures will be the most important aspects

Group 3

Q1. Defining Wellbeing and Sustainable Development.

Do you have any views on how 'wellbeing' and 'Sustainable development' can be clearly defined in legislation?

need to go beyond Brundtland to nature	there is an emphasis on people and economy and nature is losing out - which means society will be depleted	needs - difficult - can be short term and is more about humans than nature	
include no harm to ecology and planet	shift from needs to rights based approaches	Tensions in SDGs - paradoxical debates about neoliberal economic growth versus liberal rights based approach.	human wellbeing includes need for more nature connection and mental health as well as physical wellbeing
some people only connect with one or two SDGs but they represent a holistic approach and system so we should be addressing interactivity	need more knowledge base on SDGs in secondary schools especially on addressing system and interactivity - teachers need support and resources	need ecological wellbeing as well - health of the bees	need legislation for health and wellbeing of teachers working with plastic

Q2. Strengthening duties for the National Outcomes and sustainable development.

Do you have any views on the range and type of organisations that any duty should apply to?

